UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,055	08/18/2003	Thomas K. Reusche	14809US02	3111
23446 7590 06/12/2008 MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD			EXAMINER	
500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661			NGUYEN, TRINH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1	The opinion in support of the decision being entered today is <i>not</i> binding
2	precedent of the Board
3	
4	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
5	
6	
7	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
8	AND INTERFERENCES
9	
10	
11	Ex parte THOMAS K. REUSCHE, DONALD B. OWEN, and
12	JOE BLAHNIK
13	
14	
15	Appeal 2006-3101
16	Application 10/643,055
17	Technology Center 3600
18	
19	
20	Decided: September 4, 2007
21	·
22	
23	Before: MURRIEL E. CRAWFORD, HUBERT C. LORIN and ANTON W.
24	FETTING, Administrative Patent Judges.
25	
26	CRAWFORD, Administrative Patent Judge.
27	
28	
29	DECISION ON APPEAL
30	
31	STATEMENT OF CASE
32	Appellants appeal under 35 U.S.C. § 134 (2002) from a final rejection
33	of claims 1 to 12, 14 to 25, and 27 to 34. We have jurisdiction under
34	35 U.S.C. § 6(b) (2002).
<i>-</i> .	

1	Appellants invented a water agitation system having an agitator with
2	at least one agitation member outwardly extending from a lateral surface of
3	the distal end of the drive shaft (Specification 1).
4	Claim 1 under appeal reads as follows:
5 6 7	1. A water agitation system configured to be positioned within a water retention structure configured to receive and retain water, said system comprising:
8 9 10 11	a main body positionable within a water retention area of the water retention structure, said main body comprising a base removably interconnected to a cover, and an inner compartment defined between said base and cover; and
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	an agitator operatively connected to a motor housed within said main body, said agitator connected to a distal end of a drive shaft that extends outwardly from said main body, said agitator comprising at least one agitation member outwardly extending from a lateral surface of said distal end of said drive shaft, said motor configured to rotate said agitator in order to stir water retained within the water retention structure, wherein said at least one agitation member is operable to stir the water within the water retention structure,
20 21	said motor being positioned within said inner compartment. (emphasis added.)
22	The Examiner rejected claims 1 to 5, 7, 8, 10, 12, 14 to 18, 20, 21, 23,
23	25, 27 to 30 and 33 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by
24	Kajisono
25	The Examiner rejected claims 6, 19 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as
26	being unpatentable over Kajisono in view of Official Notice.
27	The Examiner rejected claims 9, 22 and 32 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as
28	being unpatentable over Kajisono in view of Wright.

1	The Examiner rejected	d claims 11, 24 and 34 und	der 35 U.S.C. § 103 as	
2	being unpatentable over Kaj	isono in view of Earhart.		
3	In Each of the rejections, the Examiner relies on Kajisono for			
4	disclosing a water agitation system including an agitation member outwardly			
5	extending from a lateral surf	face of a distal end of a dri	ve shaft.	
6	m			
7	-	oon by the Examiner in rej	ecting the claims on	
8	appeal are:			
9	Earhart	US 3,836,130	Sep. 17, 1974	
10	Wright	US 4,166,086	Aug. 28, 1979	
11	Kajisono	US 5,336,399	Aug. 9, 1994	
12	Bengel	US 5,465,279	Nov. 7, 1995	
13	Appellants contend th	at Kajisono does not discl	ose or suggest an	
14	agitation member outwardly	extending from a lateral s	surface of the distal	
15	end of the drive shaft.			
16				
17		ISSUE		
18	The only issue is when	ther Appellants have show	n that the Examiner	
19	erred in finding that Kajison	o discloses or suggests an	agitation member	
20	outwardly extending from a	lateral surface of the dista	l end of the drive	
21	shaft.			
22				
23	F	FINDINGS OF FACT		
24	Kajisono discloses an apparatus for purifying and activating water			
25	which includes a drive shaft	30 having a capsule 32 at	tached at a distal end	
26	thereof (Kajisono, col. 3, ll.	31 to 33). The capsule 32	has apertures 31 and	

## Appeal 2006-3101 Application 10/643,055

1	impellers at an end thereof to cause increased negative pressure (Kajisono,
2	col. 4, ll. 40 to 50; Figure 7). The impellers do not extend from the drive
3	shaft 30 but from the capsule 32. In addition, the impellers do not extend
4	from a lateral surface but rather extend from the end of the capsule 32.
5	
6	DISCUSSION
7	We will not sustain any of the rejections of the Examiner because all
8	of the rejections rely on Kajisono for the claim limitation of an agitation
9	member outwardly extending from the lateral surface of the distal end of the
10	drive shaft found lacking in the Kajisono reference (see Findings of Fact).
11	The decision of the Examiner is <u>reversed</u> .
12	REVERSED
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	JRG
18	
19 20 21 22 23	MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661